

EQUIVALENCE OF THE HUSAIN AND THE PLEBAŃSKI EQUATIONS

M. Jakimowicz and J. Tafel

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 69, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland,
email: tafel@fuw.edu.pl

Abstract. We show that Husain's reduction of the self-dual Einstein equations is equivalent to the Plebański equation. The Bäcklund transformation between these equations is found. Contact symmetries of the Husain equation are derived.

PACS number: 04.20(Cv, Jb) 02.40(Ky, Tt)

1 Introduction

Probably the first application of self-dual metrics, by which we mean metrics with the self-dual Riemann tensor, was proposed in 1930's (see [1] and references therein). An increased interest in this subject started in 1970's. It was stimulated by Plebański's reduction of the self-dual Einstein (SDE) equations [2], Penrose's concept of nonlinear graviton [3] (note that a similar idea was already in [1]) and works of Hawking and Gibbons on gravitational instantons [4, 5].

In 1988 Ashtekar et al [6] reduced SDE equations to a system of equations for null vector fields analogous to Nahm's equations [7] in the theory of nonabelian magnetic monopoles. Chakravarty et al [8] found explicit relations of these equations with the Plebański equation. In 1994 Husain [9] derived an infinity of conservation laws from Ashtekar's formulation and described self-dual metrics in terms of solutions to the system analogous to chiral equations, with the Poisson bracket as the commutator. Since the chiral equations are completely integrable, Husain's approach seems specially suitable for studying completely integrable reductions of the SDE equations [10, 11, 12]. In order to create new possibilities of the reductions the Husain equation was recently generalized by replacing the Poisson bracket by that of Moyal [13, 14].

The main purpose of this paper is to complete Husain's approach to self-duality. By counting free functions in the Cauchy problem Husain argued that his description covers all self-dual metrics. This argument is not fully convincing. In section 2 we prove rigorously that, indeed, Husain's approach is equivalent to the standard Plebański's description. In section 3 we derive contact symmetries of the Husain equation.

Let M be a complex 4-dimensional manifold with metric g . In the framework of the Ashtekar approach the self-duality of the corresponding Riemann tensor can be encoded into the existence of four independent vector fields e_μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, such that [6]

$$[e_0, e_1] = 0, [e_2, e_3] = 0, [e_0, e_2] + [e_1, e_3] = 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_\mu} \epsilon = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative and ϵ is a volume form. If θ^μ is the basis of 1-forms dual to e_μ then

$$\epsilon = a(\theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3), \quad (3)$$

where a is a function. Up to a constant factor the corresponding metric is defined by

$$g = a(\theta^0\theta^2 + \theta^1\theta^3). \quad (4)$$

Thus, e_μ is a conformal null basis for the metric. Note that ϵ is not the invariant volume form.

Following [8] one can relate with the vectors e_μ coordinates $p, q, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$ and a function Ω (denoted by p, q, r, s and α in [8]) satisfying the Plebański equation [2]

$$\Omega_{,p\tilde{p}}\Omega_{,q\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}}\Omega_{,q\tilde{p}} = 1. \quad (5)$$

In terms of Ω the metric tensor takes the form

$$g = \Omega_{,p\tilde{p}} dp d\tilde{p} + \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}} dp d\tilde{q} + \Omega_{,q\tilde{p}} dq d\tilde{p} + \Omega_{,q\tilde{q}} dq d\tilde{q} \quad (6)$$

and the general expression for e_μ is given by

$$e_0 = a(u_{,q}\partial_p - u_{,p}\partial_q), \quad e_1 = a(-v_{,q}\partial_p + v_{,p}\partial_q) \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_2 &= a[(-v_{,q}\Omega_{,p\tilde{p}} + v_{,p}\Omega_{,q\tilde{p}})\partial_{\tilde{q}} + (v_{,q}\Omega_{,p\tilde{q}} - v_{,p}\Omega_{,q\tilde{q}})\partial_{\tilde{p}}] \\ e_3 &= a[(-u_{,q}\Omega_{,p\tilde{p}} + u_{,p}\Omega_{,q\tilde{p}})\partial_{\tilde{q}} + (u_{,q}\Omega_{,p\tilde{q}} - u_{,p}\Omega_{,q\tilde{q}})\partial_{\tilde{p}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here

$$a = (u_{,q}v_{,p} - v_{,q}u_{,p})^{-1} \quad (9)$$

and u, v are solutions of the covariant "wave" equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{,q\tilde{q}}u_{,p\tilde{p}} + \Omega_{,p\tilde{p}}u_{,q\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,q\tilde{p}}u_{,p\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}}u_{,q\tilde{p}} &= 0 \\ \Omega_{,q\tilde{q}}v_{,p\tilde{p}} + \Omega_{,p\tilde{p}}v_{,q\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,q\tilde{p}}v_{,p\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}}v_{,q\tilde{p}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

such that a is regular. For $u = q$ and $v = p$ vectors (7), (8) simplify to

$$\hat{e}_0 = \partial_p, \quad \hat{e}_1 = \partial_q \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{e}_2 = \Omega_{,q\tilde{p}}\partial_{\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,q\tilde{q}}\partial_{\tilde{p}}, \quad \hat{e}_3 = -\Omega_{,p\tilde{p}}\partial_{\tilde{q}} + \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}}\partial_{\tilde{p}}. \quad (12)$$

Remark 1. Under assumptions (7), (8) equations (1) reduce to (10) and the equation

$$\Omega_{,p\tilde{p}}\Omega_{,q\tilde{q}} - \Omega_{,p\tilde{q}}\Omega_{,q\tilde{p}} = h(p, q), \quad (13)$$

where $h \neq 0$. One can obtain $h = 1$ by transforming p and q .

In Husain's approach [4] solutions of (1) and (2) have the following form in some coordinates $p', q', \tilde{p}', \tilde{q}'$ (denoted by t, x, p, q in [4])

$$e'_0 = \partial_{p'}, \quad e'_1 = \partial_{q'} \quad (14)$$

$$e'_2 = \partial_{p'} + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}\partial_{\tilde{q}'} - \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}\partial_{\tilde{p}'} , \quad e'_3 = \partial_{q'} - \Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'}\partial_{\tilde{q}'} + \Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'}\partial_{\tilde{p}'} . \quad (15)$$

Vectors e'_μ are independent iff

$$a' = \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'} - \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'} \neq 0 . \quad (16)$$

The corresponding metric tensor is given by

$$g = \Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'}dp'd\tilde{p}' + \Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'}dp'd\tilde{q}' + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}dq'd\tilde{p}' + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}dq'd\tilde{q}' \\ + a'^{-1}[(\Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}d\tilde{p}' + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}d\tilde{q}')^2 + (\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'}d\tilde{p}' + \Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'}d\tilde{q}')^2] . \quad (17)$$

(Note that the original metric of Husain [4] contains erroronous signs coming with expressions containing dp' and dq' .)

Substituting (14), (15) to equations (1) yields

$$\Lambda_{,p'p'} + \Lambda_{,q'q'} + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'} - \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'} = h'(p', q') , \quad (18)$$

where h' is a function of two variables. Shifting Λ by an appropriate function of p' and q' transforms (18) to the Husain equation

$$\Lambda_{,p'p'} + \Lambda_{,q'q'} + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'} - \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'} = 0 . \quad (19)$$

In terms of potentials $A_{q'} = \Lambda_{,q'}$, $A_{p'} = -\Lambda_{,p'}$ equation (19) takes the form

$$\partial_{p'}A_{q'} - \partial_{q'}A_{p'} + \{A_{p'}, A_{q'}\} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_{p'}A_{p'} + \partial_{q'}A_{q'} = 0 , \quad (21)$$

where $\{ \}$ denotes the Poisson bracket with respect to $\tilde{p}'$ and $\tilde{q}'$. Equations (20), (21) are analogous to the chiral equations (see e.g. [11]).

2 Equivalence

Due to results of Chakravarty et al [8] it is clear that vectors (14), (15) can be represented in the form (7), (8). Let a solution Λ of (18), satisfying condition (16), be given. It follows from (7) and (14) that $\tilde{p}'$, $\tilde{q}'$ are independent of p and q and that p' , q' coincide with v and u , respectively, modulo functions of $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$. Let us assume the simplest case

$$p' = v, \quad q' = u, \quad \tilde{p}' = \tilde{p}, \quad \tilde{q}' = \tilde{q}. \quad (22)$$

Note that p and q are not yet defined as functions of the primed coordinates. Under (22) vectors (14) take the form (7). Compatibility of (15) with (8) requires

$$e'_2(p) = e'_3(p) = 0 , \quad e'_2(q) = e'_3(q) = 0 \quad (23)$$

and

$$e'_2(\tilde{p}) = -e'_1(\Omega_{,\tilde{q}}) , \quad e'_3(\tilde{p}) = e'_0(\Omega_{,\tilde{q}}) \\ e'_2(\tilde{q}) = e'_1(\Omega_{,\tilde{p}}) , \quad e'_3(\tilde{q}) = -e'_0(\Omega_{,\tilde{p}}) , \quad (24)$$

where e'_μ are given by (14) and (15). Equations (23) define coordinates p, q . They admit nontrivial solutions since $[e'_2, e'_3] = 0$. It follows from (22) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tilde{p}} &= \partial_{\tilde{p}'} - a'^{-1}(\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{p}'}\partial_{p'} + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{p}'}\partial_{q'}) \\ \partial_{\tilde{q}} &= \partial_{\tilde{q}'} - a'^{-1}(\Lambda_{,p'\tilde{q}'}\partial_{p'} + \Lambda_{,q'\tilde{q}'}\partial_{q'}),\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

where a' is given by (16).

Upon an integration and a possible change of Ω , equations (24) yield

$$\Lambda_{,\tilde{p}'} = \Omega_{,\tilde{p}}, \quad \Lambda_{,\tilde{q}'} = \Omega_{,\tilde{q}}.\tag{26}$$

Equations (26) admit solutions with respect to Ω since the integrability condition

$$(\Lambda_{,\tilde{p}'}),_{\tilde{q}} = (\Lambda_{,\tilde{q}'}),_{\tilde{p}}\tag{27}$$

is satisfied due to (25).

Thus, vectors (14), (15) can be put into the form (7), (8). Equations (10) and (13) are necessarily satisfied (see Remark 1). Hence, every solution of the Husain equation defines some solution of the Plebański equation.

Consider now the reverse transformation. Let a solution Ω of equation (13) be given together with the class of vectors (7), (8) depending on functions u, v restricted by equations (10). In order to guarantee equality of the r.h.s. of (7) and (14) we assume that the primed coordinates are given by (22) with functions u, v which are to be defined. Vectors (8), when expressed in terms of the primed coordinates, acquire components spanned by $\partial_{q'}, \partial_{p'}$. They simplify to those in (15) provided

$$u_{,p} = -\hat{e}_3(v), \quad v_{,p} = \hat{e}_3(u)\tag{28}$$

$$u_{,q} = \hat{e}_2(v), \quad v_{,q} = -\hat{e}_2(u),\tag{29}$$

$\hat{e}_2$ and $\hat{e}_3$ being given by (12). Conditions on components spanned by $\partial_{\tilde{q}'}, \partial_{\tilde{p}'}$ in (8) and (15) give rise to (26) (after an integration and an allowed transformation of Λ).

Thus, in order to construct the transformation leading from (7) and (8) to (14) and (15) one should prove the existence of solutions u, v, Λ of equations (28), (29) and (26). Consider (28) as evolution equations with respect to the 'time' p and (29) as constraints. Denote $X = u_{,q} - \hat{e}_2(v)$ and $Y = v_{,q} + \hat{e}_2(u)$. A repeated use of equations (28) and the commutation properties (1) shows that

$$X_{,p} = -\hat{e}_3(Y), \quad Y_{,p} = \hat{e}_3(X).\tag{30}$$

It follows from (30) that constraints (29) are preserved when p changes. For a fixed initial value of p equations (29) possess solutions since they can be treated as evolution equations with respect to q . Thus, solutions u, v of equations (28), (29) exist and are defined by two functions of coordinates $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{p}$.

Comparing the q -derivative of (28) with the p -derivative of (29) yields equations

$$(\hat{e}_0\hat{e}_2 + \hat{e}_1\hat{e}_3)u = 0, \quad (\hat{e}_0\hat{e}_2 + \hat{e}_1\hat{e}_3)v = 0\tag{31}$$

which coincide with the 'wave' equations (10). Hence, the functions u, v can be used to define vectors (7), (8). It remains to show that equations (26) admit solutions for Λ . This is true since the integrability condition

$$(\Omega_{,\tilde{p}})_{,\tilde{q}'} = (\Omega_{,\tilde{q}})_{,\tilde{p}'} \quad (32)$$

is a consequence of transformation (22) and equations (28), (29). Since vectors (7), (8) can be put into the form (14), (15) the function Λ satisfies necessarily the Husain equation (18). Summarizing, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Transformation (26) relates solutions of the Plebański equation (13) with $h \neq 0$ to solutions of the Husain equation (18) satisfying condition (16). Equations (22), (23) define unprimed coordinates in terms of primed ones and equations (22), (28), (29) define the reverse transformation of coordinates.*

Note that transformation (26) is a kind of the Backlund transformation (see e.g. [11]).

3 Symmetries of the Husain equation

In this section we obtain contact symmetries of equation (19). Since the symmetry methods are rather standard [15], we present final results on infinitesimal symmetries and we give more details on their integration.

It is convenient to replace coordinates p', q' by $z = p' + iq', \tilde{z} = p' - iq'$ and to denote $x^A = \tilde{p}', \tilde{q}'$. Then equation (19) and metric (18) take the form

$$\Lambda_{,z\tilde{z}} = \frac{i}{2} \{ \Lambda_{,\tilde{z}}, \Lambda_{,z} \}, \quad (33)$$

$$g = \Lambda_{,zA} dz dx^A + \Lambda_{,\tilde{z}A} d\tilde{z} dx^A + (\Lambda_{,z\tilde{z}})^{-1} \Lambda_{,zA} \Lambda_{,\tilde{z}B} dx^A dx^B, \quad (34)$$

where $\{ \}$ is the Poisson bracket with respect to x^A .

Let $z, \tilde{z}, x^A, \Lambda, \Lambda_z, \Lambda_{\tilde{z}}, \Lambda_A$ be coordinates of the first jet space, which is an arena space for contact transformations. The general infinitesimal contact symmetry of (33) is given by the following vector field

$$\begin{aligned} v = & h_{,\Lambda_z} \partial_z + \tilde{h}_{,\Lambda_{\tilde{z}}} \partial_{\tilde{z}} + f^A \partial_A + \left(\Lambda_z h_{,\Lambda_z} + \Lambda_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{h}_{,\Lambda_{\tilde{z}}} - h - \tilde{h} + c\Lambda + f \right) \partial_\Lambda \\ & + (c\Lambda_z - h_{,z}) \partial_{\Lambda_z} + \left(c\Lambda_{\tilde{z}} - \tilde{h}_{,\tilde{z}} \right) \partial_{\Lambda_{\tilde{z}}} + (f_{,A} - f^B_{,A} \Lambda_B + c\Lambda_A) \partial_{\Lambda_A} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Here $h = h(z, \Lambda_z)$, $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(\tilde{z}, \Lambda_{\tilde{z}})$, $c = \text{const}$, functions f, f^A depend only on coordinates x^B and

$$f^A_{,A} = c. \quad (36)$$

It is easy to see that vector field (35) generates a group of transformations such that the new coordinates x'^A are given by

$$x'^A = F^A(x^B), \quad (37)$$

where

$$\det(F^A_{,B}) = \alpha = \text{const} . \quad (38)$$

It also follows from (35) that there are functions G , H , $\tilde{G}$, $\tilde{H}$ of two variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= G(z, \Lambda_z) , \quad \Lambda'_{z'} = H(z, \Lambda_z) , \\ \tilde{z}' &= \tilde{G}(\tilde{z}, \Lambda_{\tilde{z}}) , \quad \Lambda'_{\tilde{z}'} = \tilde{H}(\tilde{z}, \Lambda_{\tilde{z}}) . \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Substituting (39) into the condition $\Lambda'_{z',\tilde{z}'} = \Lambda'_{\tilde{z}',z'}$ yields

$$G_{,z}H_{,\Lambda_z} - H_{,z}G_{,\Lambda_z} = \tilde{G}_{,\tilde{z}}\tilde{H}_{,\Lambda_{\tilde{z}}} - \tilde{H}_{,\tilde{z}}\tilde{G}_{,\Lambda_{\tilde{z}}} = \beta = \text{const} . \quad (40)$$

It turns out that the only remaining condition for the above transformation to be a symmetry of (33) is

$$\alpha = \beta . \quad (41)$$

Summarizing, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. *The continuous contact symmetry group of the Husain equation is given by (37)-(41). Elements of this group preserve the metric up to the constant factor α .*

4 Conclusions

We have shown that any solution of the Plebański equation defines a class of solutions of the Husain equation and vice versa (Theorem 1). Vectors (14), (15) yield a particular solution of equations (1), (2), whereas expressions (7), (8) represent the most general solution. We have found all continuous contact transformations of the Husain equation (19) (Theorem 2).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Andrzej Trautman for indicating me ref. [1]. This work was partially supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (grant 1 P03B 075 29).

References

- [1] Mimura Y. 1935, Synopsis of Wave Geometry, *Jap. J. Phys.* **14** 17
- [2] Plebański J 1975, Some solutions of complex Einstein equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **16** 2395
- [3] Penrose R 1976, Nonlinear Gravitons and Curved Twistor Theory, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **7** 31
- [4] Hawking S W 1977, Gravitational instantons, *Phys. Lett.* **60A** 81

- [5] Gibbons G W and Hawking S W 1977, Action integrals and partition functions in quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev.* **D15** 2752
- [6] Ashtekar A, Jacobson T and Smolin L 1988, A new characterization of half-flat solutions to Einstein's equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115** 631
- [7] Nahm W. 1981, All self dual multimonopoles for arbitrary gauge group, *CERN preprint* TH 3172
- [8] Chakravarty S, Mason L J and Newman E T 1991, Canonical structures on anti-self-dual four-manifolds and the diffeomorphism group, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** 1458
- [9] Husain V 1994, Self-dual gravity as a two-dimensional theory and conservation laws, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 927
- [10] Mason L J 1990, H-space, a universal integrable system?, *Twistor Newsletter* **30** 14
- [11] Mason L J and Woodhouse N M J 1996, *Integrability, self-duality and twistor theory*, (Clarendon press, Oxford)
- [12] Dunajski M, Mason L J and Woodhouse N M J 1998, From 2D Integrable Systems to Self-Dual Gravity, *J. Phys. a: Math. Gen.* **31** 6019
- [13] Plebański J and Przanowski M, The Lagrangian of a self-dual gravitational field as a limit of the SDYM Lagrangian, *Phys. Lett.* **A212** 22
- [14] Przanowski M and Formański S 1999, Searching for a Universal Integrable System, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B30** 863
- [15] Olver P J 1996, *Equivalence, invariants and symmetry*, Cambridge University Press